

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/608,436	06/30/2000	Eiji Muramatsu	Q59947	9744
7:	590 06/04/2003			F
SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC			EXAMINER	
	LVANIA AVE N, DC 20037-7060		FERGUSON, LAWRENCE D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1774	
			DATE MAILED: 06/04/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	* 4	· .	46				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
•	09/608,436	MURAMATSU ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Lawrence D Ferguson	1774					
The MAILING DATE f this communication app Peri df r Reply	pears on the cover shet wi	th the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a re y within the statutory minimum of thirt will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON , cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	n.				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 M	<u>March 2003</u> .						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Th	is action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under			is				
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 2-4 and 7-23 is/are pending in the ap	•						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) <u>2-4 and 7-23</u> is/are rejected.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r alastian requirement						
Application Papers	r election requirement.						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accept	oted or b) objected to by the	ne Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)☐ approved b)☐ di	sapproved by the Examiner.	•				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	oly to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.	•					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Ap	oplication No					
 Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional applicati	ion).				
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti 							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of In	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
S. Patent and Trademark Office							

Art Unit: 1774

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. This action is in response to the amendment mailed March 06, 2002.

Claims 5-6 were cancelled, claims 2,7 and 9-11 were amended and claims 14-23 were added, rendering claims 2-4 and 7-23 pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103(a)

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 2-4 and 7-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ito et al (U.S. 5,881,032) in view of Hirata et al (U.S. 5,242,729) further in view of Kanno (U.S. 6,103,331).
- 4. Ito teaches a string of pits and lands formed in a transparent substrate, which is coated to form each recording layer, where a transparent resin is injected between the first and second recording layers (column 2, lines 24-27). Coating is analogous to laminating. Ito further teaches the coated aluminum layer reflects light (column 2, lines 25-31). Ito discloses an information storage medium comprising plural recording layers wherein the spiral reproduction directions are opposite on different layers assigned to

- Care

Application/Control Number: 09/608,436

Art Unit: 1774

sectors at the same radial positions on different layers having a complementary relationship (column 4, lines 41-46). Ito discloses an information storage media in which the data recording grooves are formed on the first and second recording layers (column 9, lines 56-65) along with elevated and retracted grooves in Figure 12. Ito does not disclose where the grooves are thicker than the lands.

Hirata teaches a recording medium having a recording layer and a transparent substrate (column 3, lines 24-28) where the recording layer has thicker grooves than lands (column 11, lines 5-9). Ito and Hirata are analogous because they are both from the field of recording mediums. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include thicker grooves than lands in the recording mediums of Ito because Hirata teaches thicker grooves and less thick lands help produce the proper reflectivity to provide reproduction in accordance with the CD standard (column 11, lines 5-9).

Neither reference explicitly teaches a recessed groove in the first recording layer and raised groove in the second recording layer. Kanno teaches optimizing (varying) the groove depth (column 6, lines 53-57) of a recording medium (column 6, line 7). All of the references are analogous art because they are from the field of recording mediums. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to vary the grooves of Ito because Kanno teaches the structure and composition of the recording medium greatly affect the groove. Furthermore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art for the grooves of Ito to be recessed and raised, as instantly claimed because it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to reverse the grooves of the

Application/Control Number: 09/608,436

Art Unit: 1774

first and second layers, because a mere reversal of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Einstein*, USPQ 167.

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Okuyama et al (U.S. 5,793,723) teaches adjusting the groove depth of an optical disk so the reflected laser beam(s) from adjacent tracks are not at the same intensity (column 2, lines 28-40).

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's remarks to the rejection made under 35 USC 112, second paragraph have been considered and are overcome due to Applicant's arguments.

Applicant's remarks to the rejection made under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ito et al. U.S. (5,881,032) in view of Hirata et al (U.S. 5,242,729) have been considered but are moot based on grounds of new rejection.

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Art Unit: 1774

in the

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lawrence Ferguson whose telephone number is (703) 305-9978. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:30 AM – 4:30PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cynthia Kelly can be reached on (703) 308-0449. Please allow the examiner twenty-four hours to return your call.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2351.

Lawrence D. Ferguson

Examiner Art Unit 1774 CYNTHIA H. KELLY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

Cottet Kell